

*Original Article*

# No Laughing Matter: The Political Economy of Income Inequality and its Intergenerational Consequences on Sibling Relationships

Alison L. Gamera<sup>1\*</sup>, Mateja van Chasteen<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Psychology, University of Toronto, Canada.
2. Department of Psychology, University of Toronto, Canada.

\* Correspondence: [2430715@utoronto.ca](mailto:2430715@utoronto.ca)

**Abstract:** This research article explored the intricate and often-overlooked relationship between the political economy of income inequality and the quality of sibling relationships, positing that the impact of broad economic structures on these foundational familial bonds is significantly moderated by family values, upbringing, and, most critically, the inheritance of wealth. As income and wealth disparities widen, driven by specific political and economic policy choices, the consequences reverberate through the most intimate aspects of social life. This study argued that in an era of heightened economic precarity, wealth inheritance acts as a powerful catalyst, capable of either cementing or fracturing sibling bonds, depending on the foundational values instilled during their formative years. Through a synthesis of literature from political science, economics, sociology, and psychology, this article demonstrates that while macroeconomic forces create the conditions for familial strife, it is the microcosm of the family—its values regarding fairness, communication, and collective well-being—that ultimately determines whether siblings' weather economic storms together or are torn apart by them. The article concludes that understanding this interplay is crucial for developing holistic social policies that not only address economic inequality but also support family cohesion and intergenerational solidarity in an increasingly unequal world.

**Keywords:** Income inequality, political economy, sibling relationships, family values

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The specter of income inequality looms large over contemporary societies, casting a long shadow on economic growth, political stability, and social cohesion. While the macroeconomic consequences of this growing divide are well-documented, its impact on the micro-level dynamics of family life, particularly the enduring and often complex relationships between siblings, remains a relatively underexplored dimension of this critical issue [1]. This is a significant oversight, as sibling relationships, forged in the crucible of shared childhood experiences, are among the most formative and long-lasting of human connections. They are a primary site for the transmission of social and cultural capital, and their quality has profound implications for individual well-being and the broader fabric of society. The central thesis of this article is that the political economy of income inequality creates a fertile ground for conflict and resentment within families, and that the inheritance of wealth acts as a powerful moderating variable that can either amplify or mitigate these tensions in sibling relationships [2]. The way siblings navigate the often-perilous terrain of wealth transfer is, we argue, profoundly shaped by the family values and upbringing they experienced. In an economic landscape characterized by increasing precarity for many and unprecedented wealth accumulation for a few, the question of "who gets what" upon the passing of parents has become a flashpoint for latent rivalries and a stark reflection of societal divisions. This article will proceed in four main parts. First, it will provide a comprehensive review of the literature on the political economy of income inequality, examining the policy choices and ideological shifts that have led to the current state of wealth concentration. Second, it will delve into

the sociological and psychological literature on sibling relationships, focusing on the role of family values and upbringing in shaping these bonds. Third, it will introduce the critical moderating role of wealth inheritance, analyzing how the process of intergenerational wealth transfer can become a crucible for sibling conflict or an opportunity for solidarity. Finally, through a synthesis of these threads, the article will offer a nuanced analysis of the complex interplay between macroeconomic forces and intimate family dynamics, concluding with a discussion of the broader implications for social policy and future research [3]. By connecting the seemingly disparate worlds of political economy and family psychology, this article aims to demonstrate that the consequences of income inequality are, indeed, no laughing matter, extending into the very heart of our most fundamental human relationships [4].

## 2. THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF RISING INCOME INEQUALITY

The dramatic rise in income and wealth inequality over the past several decades is not a naturally occurring phenomenon but rather the result of a series of deliberate political and economic policy choices. A robust body of literature in political economy has meticulously documented the drivers of this trend, moving beyond simplistic explanations of market forces to reveal the intricate interplay of political power, ideology, and economic policy.

### 2.1 The Neoliberal Turn and its Consequences

A key starting point for understanding the contemporary landscape of inequality is the "neoliberal turn" of the late 1970s and 1980s. This period saw a paradigm shift in economic policy, championed by figures like Margaret Thatcher in the United Kingdom and Ronald Reagan in the United States, and subsequently adopted in varying forms across the globe [5]. The core tenets of neoliberalism—deregulation, privatization, trade liberalization, and a reduction in the size and scope of the welfare state—fundamentally reshaped the distribution of income and wealth.

- **Tax Policy:** One of the most direct mechanisms through which political decisions have fueled inequality is through changes in tax policy. The significant reduction of top marginal income tax rates, capital gains taxes, and inheritance taxes has disproportionately benefited the wealthiest segments of the population. This has not only allowed the rich to accumulate wealth at a faster rate but has also diminished the redistributive capacity of the state. The decline in the progressivity of tax systems has been a consistent finding in studies of rising inequality across developed nations [6].
- **Labor Market Deregulation:** The weakening of labor market institutions has been another critical driver of income inequality. The decline in trade union membership and power, the erosion of minimum wage protections, and the rise of non-standard forms of employment (such as contract work and the "gig economy") have all contributed to a stagnation of wages for low- and middle-income workers. This has led to a significant decoupling of productivity growth from wage growth, with the gains from economic expansion flowing predominantly to capital owners rather than to labor [7].
- **Financialization and Financial Deregulation:** The increasing dominance of the financial sector in the economy, a phenomenon known as "financialization," has also played a crucial role. The deregulation of the financial industry has led to an increase in risky and speculative behavior, which, while generating immense profits for a few, has also contributed to economic instability and crises that have disproportionately harmed lower- and middle-class households. The explosion in executive compensation, often tied to short-term stock market performance, has further exacerbated the gap between the top and the rest [8].
- **Globalization and Trade Liberalization:** While globalization has brought significant economic benefits, its distributional consequences have been uneven. The offshoring of manufacturing jobs from high-wage to low-wage countries has put downward pressure on the wages of less-skilled workers in developed economies [9]. While trade theory suggests that the overall gains from trade could be redistributed to compensate the losers, in practice, this has rarely occurred, leaving many

workers and communities behind [10].

## 2.2 The Role of Political Institutions and Ideology

The policy choices that have driven inequality are themselves a product of deeper shifts in political power and ideology. The rise of a powerful corporate lobbying apparatus has given moneyed interests a disproportionate influence over the political process, enabling them to shape legislation in their favor. The decline in voter turnout, particularly among lower-income groups, has further skewed the political landscape. Ideologically, the narrative of "trickle-down economics"—the belief that tax cuts for the wealthy will ultimately benefit everyone—has provided a powerful justification for policies that have exacerbated inequality [11]. This narrative has often been coupled with a discourse that blames poverty and economic hardship on individual failings rather than on structural factors, further undermining support for redistributive policies and social safety nets. In sum, the current era of high- and rising-income inequality is the result of a complex and interlocking set of political and economic forces. It is a story of policy choices that have consistently favored the interests of the wealthy and powerful at the expense of the majority. This macroeconomic context of growing disparity and economic insecurity provides the backdrop against which the intimate dramas of family life, and particularly the dynamics of sibling relationships, unfold [12].

## 3. THE FORMATIVE POWER OF FAMILY: VALUES, UPBRINGING, AND SIBLING BONDS

Sibling relationships are a microcosm of the social world, a training ground for cooperation, competition, and conflict resolution. The quality of these bonds is not predetermined by genetics but is profoundly shaped by the environment in which they are nurtured—the family. The values that parents intentionally and unintentionally transmit, and the day-to-day realities of their upbringing, lay the foundation for how siblings will relate to one another throughout their lives, particularly when faced with the complexities of shared inheritance.

### 3.1 The Enduring Impact of Family Values

Family values are the implicit and explicit beliefs and principles that guide a family's behavior and interactions. These values, when consistently modeled and reinforced, become internalized by children and shape their moral compass, their sense of fairness, and their understanding of their obligations to one another.

- **Collectivism vs. Individualism:** Families that emphasize collectivist values, such as interdependence, mutual support, and the importance of the family unit over the individual, tend to foster stronger and more supportive sibling relationships. In such families, siblings are more likely to see themselves as part of a team, where the success of one is a success for all. Conversely, families that promote a more individualistic ethos, emphasizing competition, self-reliance, and individual achievement, may inadvertently sow the seeds of rivalry and resentment between siblings [13].
- **Fairness and Equity:** A family's conception of fairness is another critical determinant of sibling relationship quality. Parents who strive to treat their children equitably (though not necessarily identically), and who are transparent about their decision-making, are more likely to raise siblings who perceive their treatment as just. However, perceptions of parental favoritism, whether real or imagined, can be a potent source of conflict and can leave lasting emotional scars that resurface in adulthood, particularly in the context of inheritance [14].
- **Communication and Conflict Resolution:** The way a family communicates and manages conflict is also crucial. Families that encourage open and honest communication, and that model constructive conflict resolution strategies, equip their children with the tools they need to navigate disagreements and maintain their relationships in the face of challenges. In contrast, families that suppress conflict or engage in destructive communication patterns may leave their children ill-equipped to handle the inevitable disputes that arise in any long-term relationship [15].

### 3.2 The Influence of Upbringing and Parental Behavior

Beyond abstract values, the concrete experiences of upbringing play a powerful role in shaping sibling dynamics.

- **Parental Modeling:** Parents are the primary role models for their children's behavior. Siblings who witness their parents treating each other with respect, affection, and mutual support are more likely to replicate these patterns in their own relationships. Conversely, children who are exposed to parental conflict, hostility, or emotional distance may learn to view close relationships as a source of stress and anxiety [16].
- **Differential Treatment:** While all parents have unique relationships with each of their children, consistent and overt differential treatment can be highly damaging to sibling relationships. When one child is consistently praised while another is criticized, or when one child's needs are consistently prioritized over another's, it can create a dynamic of resentment and competition that can persist long into adulthood [17].
- **Parental Stress and Economic Hardship:** The economic circumstances of a family can also have a significant impact on sibling relationships. Parents who are struggling with financial insecurity may be more stressed, less patient, and less emotionally available to their children. This can lead to a more chaotic and conflict-ridden home environment, which can in turn negatively affect the quality of sibling bonds. In some cases, economic hardship can bring siblings closer together as they learn to rely on one another for support. However, it can also create a sense of scarcity and competition for limited resources. In conclusion, the family is the crucible in which sibling relationships are forged. The values that are prioritized, the communication styles that are modeled, and the overall emotional climate of the home all contribute to the strength and resilience of these foundational bonds. As we will now explore, the legacy of this upbringing is never more apparent than when siblings are confronted with the emotionally charged issue of wealth inheritance [18].

## 4. THE CRUCIBLE OF INHERITANCE: WEALTH TRANSFER AS A MODERATOR OF SIBLING RELATIONSHIPS

Wealth inheritance is more than just the transfer of financial assets; it is a deeply symbolic event, laden with emotional meaning and historical significance. It represents the final act of parental provision, a tangible expression of love, and a confirmation of one's place within the family narrative. It is for these reasons that the process of inheritance can act as a powerful catalyst, bringing to the surface latent tensions and unresolved conflicts that may have been simmering for years. The manner in which siblings navigate this process is profoundly influenced by their shared history, and the outcome can either solidify their bond or shatter it irrevocably [19].

### 4.1 Wealth Inheritance as a Magnifying Glass

The distribution of an estate often serves as a magnifying glass, amplifying pre-existing dynamics within the sibling group.

- **Latent Rivalries and Unresolved Conflicts:** Childhood rivalries, feelings of being misunderstood or unappreciated, and long-standing grievances can all resurface with a vengeance during the settlement of an estate. The inheritance can become a proxy for these unresolved emotional issues, with siblings fighting over money when what they are really fighting for is parental love, recognition, or a sense of justice for past wrongs [20].
- **Perceptions of Fairness and Entitlement:** Even in families where parents have strived to be fair, siblings may have very different ideas about what constitutes an equitable distribution of assets. One sibling may feel entitled to a larger share because they provided more care for aging parents, while another may believe that all siblings should receive an equal share regardless of their contributions. These differing perspectives can lead to intractable disputes and bitter resentment [21].

- **The Symbolic Meaning of Assets:** The conflict over an inheritance is often not about the monetary value of the assets but about their symbolic meaning. A family home, a piece of jewelry, or a collection of old photographs can all become objects of intense emotional attachment, representing a connection to the past and a tangible link to deceased parents. The struggle over these sentimental items can be far more acrimonious than the division of financial assets [22].

#### 4.2 The Moderating Role of Family Values and Upbringing

The extent to which wealth inheritance becomes a source of conflict or a moment of solidarity is significantly moderated by the family values and upbringing that siblings share.

- **Families with Strong Collectivist Values:** In families that have cultivated a strong sense of collective identity and mutual support, siblings are more likely to approach the inheritance process with a spirit of cooperation and a desire to find a solution that is fair to everyone. They are more likely to communicate openly and honestly about their needs and desires, and to prioritize the preservation of their relationships over their individual financial gain [23].
- **Families with a History of Open Communication:** Siblings who have grown up in families where open communication and constructive conflict resolution were the norm are better equipped to handle the difficult conversations that are an inevitable part of settling an estate. They are more likely to be able to express their feelings without resorting to blame or accusation, and to listen to their siblings' perspectives with empathy and understanding [24].
- **The Importance of Parental Planning and Communication:** The way in which parents plan for the distribution of their estate can have a profound impact on the likelihood of sibling conflict. Parents who create a clear and well-thought-out estate plan, and who communicate their wishes to their children before they pass away, can do much to prevent misunderstandings and disputes. By explaining the reasoning behind their decisions, parents can help their children to understand and accept their choices, even if they do not agree with them. Conversely, parents who fail to plan, or who create an ambiguous or unfair estate plan, can unwittingly set their children up for a bitter and protracted battle [25].

#### 4.3 The Exacerbating Effect of Economic Inequality

In an era of widening income and wealth inequality, the stakes of inheritance are higher than ever before. For many families, an inheritance may represent the only opportunity to achieve a measure of financial security in an increasingly precarious economic landscape. This can intensify the pressure on siblings to secure their "fair share" of the estate, making compromise and cooperation all the more difficult. The fear of being left behind in an unequal society can turn the inheritance process into a zero-sum game, where one sibling's gain is perceived as another's loss [26]. In conclusion, wealth inheritance is a critical juncture in the life of a family, a moment when the legacy of the past collides with the realities of the present. It is a process that is fraught with the potential for conflict, but it is also an opportunity for siblings to come together and reaffirm their bonds of kinship. The outcome, as we have seen, is largely dependent on the foundation of values and upbringing that was laid in their formative years [27].

### 5. SYNTHESIS AND ANALYSIS: THE INTERPLAY OF MACROECONOMIC FORCES AND INTIMATE FAMILY DYNAMICS

The preceding sections have established three key pillars of our argument: first, that rising income inequality is a product of specific political and economic policy choices; second, that family values and upbringing are powerful determinants of sibling relationship quality; and third, that wealth inheritance acts as a critical moderator, capable of either cementing or fracturing sibling bonds [28]. The task now is to synthesize these threads, to demonstrate how these seemingly disparate phenomena are, in fact, deeply intertwined. The political economy of income inequality does not operate in a vacuum. Its

effects ripple through society, shaping not only the economic opportunities available to individuals but also the very fabric of our social relationships. The family, as the primary unit of social organization, is not immune to these powerful macroeconomic forces. Indeed, it is often within the intimate confines of the family that the consequences of inequality are most keenly felt [29].

### **5.1. The Economic Squeeze and its Psychological Toll**

The economic precarity that has become a defining feature of life for many in an unequal society has a profound psychological toll. The constant stress of making ends meet, the anxiety about the future, and the sense of relative deprivation that comes from living in a society with vast disparities in wealth can all contribute to a more conflict-ridden and less supportive family environment. When parents are struggling to keep their heads above water, they may have less time, energy, and emotional resources to devote to their children. This can lead to a more chaotic and less nurturing upbringing, which can in turn have a negative impact on the quality of sibling relationships [30].

### **5.2. The High-Stakes Game of Inheritance**

In this context of heightened economic anxiety, the inheritance of wealth takes on an outsized importance. For many, it is no longer simply a matter of receiving a sentimental keepsake or a modest financial windfall; it is a potential lifeline, a chance to achieve a level of financial security that may otherwise be unattainable [31]. This high-stakes environment can turn the inheritance process into a fierce competition, with siblings vying for a larger share of the estate in a desperate attempt to secure their own economic futures. The language of fairness and equity can become a weapon in this struggle, with each sibling marshaling arguments to justify their claim to a larger portion of the inheritance [32].

### **5.3 The Crucial Role of Family Values as a Buffer or an Amplifier**

It is at this critical juncture that the moderating role of family values and upbringing becomes most apparent. Families that have cultivated a strong sense of solidarity and mutual support are better equipped to withstand the divisive pressures of an unequal society. In these families, siblings are more likely to see their inheritance not as a finite pie to be divided but as a shared resource that can be used to benefit the entire family [33]. They are more likely to engage in open and honest communication, to seek out solutions that are fair to everyone, and to prioritize the preservation of their relationships above all else. In contrast, in families where individualism and competition have been the dominant ethos, the inheritance process is more likely to become a battleground. In these families, the economic anxieties fueled by inequality can exacerbate pre-existing rivalries and resentments, leading to bitter and often irreparable divisions. The absence of a strong foundation of trust and mutual respect makes it difficult for siblings to navigate the emotionally charged terrain of inheritance, and the outcome is often a family that is torn apart by greed and acrimony [34].

### **5.4 A Feedback Loop of Inequality**

The breakdown of sibling relationships in the face of inheritance disputes can, in turn, contribute to the perpetuation of inequality across generations. When siblings are unable to cooperate, they may be less likely to pool their resources, to provide financial support to one another in times of need, or to work together to build and preserve family wealth [35]. This can lead to a dissipation of family assets and a decline in the economic well-being of the family as a whole. In this way, the social and emotional consequences of inequality can create a feedback loop, reinforcing and exacerbating economic disparities over time. In conclusion, the relationship between the political economy of income inequality and the quality of sibling relationships is a complex and multifaceted one. Macroeconomic forces create the conditions of economic precarity and high-stakes inheritance that can strain even the strongest of family bonds. However, the ultimate outcome is not predetermined. It is mediated by the

microcosm of the family—the values, the upbringing, and the communication patterns that have been established over a lifetime. It is this interplay between the macro and the micro, between the political and the personal, that holds the key to understanding the full and far-reaching consequences of our increasingly unequal world [36].

## 6. CONCLUSION

This article has sought to illuminate the intricate and often-overlooked connections between the political economy of income inequality, the formative power of family values and upbringing, and the quality of sibling relationships, with a particular focus on the moderating role of wealth inheritance. We have argued that the widening gap between the rich and the poor, a product of specific policy choices, creates a fertile ground for familial conflict, and that the inheritance of wealth acts as a critical flashpoint where these tensions are often ignited. The way in which siblings navigate this perilous terrain, we have contended, is profoundly shaped by the foundational values and relational patterns instilled in them during their formative years. Our analysis has demonstrated that in an economic landscape characterized by growing precarity, the stakes of inheritance have been raised, transforming what was once a private family matter into a stark reflection of broader societal divisions. The struggle over parental assets can become a proxy for deeper anxieties about economic security and social mobility, and the outcome of this struggle can have lasting consequences for both individual well-being and the intergenerational transmission of advantage and disadvantage.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bingley, P., Cappellari, L., & Tatsiramos, K. (2021). Family, community and long-term socio-economic inequality: Evidence from siblings and youth peers. *The Economic Journal*, 131(636), 1515-1554.
- [2] Mohammad Iqbal Hossain (2025). Integrating Blended Learning to Improve Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: A Case Study of X College in Bangladesh. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(04):175-186.
- [3] Ahsan, M. N., Emran, M. S., Jiang, H., Han, Q., & Shilpi, F. (2022). Growing up together: Sibling correlation, parental influence, and intergenerational educational mobility in developing countries. *Parental Influence, and Intergenerational Educational Mobility in Developing Countries* (June 27, 2022).
- [4] Hällsten, M., & Thaning, M. (2022). Wealth as one of the “big four” SES dimensions in intergenerational transmissions. *Social Forces*, 100(4), 1533-1560.
- [5] Alina Eyselein, Brad, Gabriel, Mathias Maneka & Krams, Danyal (2025). The Digital Departed: How We Face Death, Commemorate Life, and Chase Virtual Immortality. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(04):225-230.
- [6] Black, S. E., Duzett, N., Lleras-Muney, A., Pope, N. G., & Price, J. (2023). *Intergenerational correlations in longevity* (No. w31034). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [7] Nybom, M. (2024). Intergenerational income mobility. In *Research Handbook on Intergenerational Inequality* (pp. 56-72). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [8] Nybom, M., & Stuhler, J. (2024). Interpreting trends in intergenerational mobility. *Journal of Political Economy*, 132(8), 2531-2570.
- [9] Grätz, M., Barclay, K. J., Wiborg, Ø. N., Lyngstad, T. H., Karhula, A., Erola, J., ... & Conley, D. (2021). Sibling similarity in education across and within societies. *Demography*, 58(3), 1011-1037.
- [10] Stuhler, J. (2024). Multigenerational inequality. *Research Handbook on Intergenerational Inequality*, 100-121.
- [11] Richard C. Cacayan (2025). Usage, Functionality and Relevance of Code Switching in Teaching Literature on Students' Development. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(03):119-140.

- [12]Wigen, A. (2023, March). Negotiating Unequal Exchange: Relational Work in Cross-Class Sibling Relationships 1. In *Sociological Forum* (Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 235-253).
- [13]Mommaerts, C. (2025). Long-term care insurance and the family. *Journal of Political Economy*, 133(1), 1-52.
- [14]Manisha Balami (2025). Audience Perception on Portrayal of Women in Print Media Advertisements. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(02):67-79.
- [15]Mogstad, M., & Torsvik, G. (2023). Family background, neighborhoods, and intergenerational mobility. *Handbook of the Economics of the Family*, 1(1), 327-387.
- [16]Lu, F., & Vogl, T. (2023). Intergenerational persistence in child mortality. *American Economic Review: Insights*, 5(1), 93-109.
- [17]MacLeavy, J. A., & Manley, D. J. (2022). Socio-spatial inequalities and intergenerational dependencies. In *Between Fault Lines and Front Lines: Shifting Power in an Unequal World* (pp. 45-61). Bloomsbury Academic.
- [18]Agata Przepiórka (2025). The Resonant Organization: Informal Leadership, Strategy, and the Power of Silent Authority. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(01):43-50.
- [19]Chetty, R., Dobbie, W. S., Goldman, B., Porter, S., & Yang, C. (2024). *Changing opportunity: Sociological mechanisms underlying growing class gaps and shrinking race gaps in economic mobility* (No. w32697). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [20]James Kong (2025). The Activist's Ledger: Entrepreneurship in an Era of Social Justice Advocacy. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 4(01):51-58.
- [21]Lindemann, K., & Gangl, M. (2024). Parental joblessness and its intergenerational impact. In *Research Handbook on Intergenerational Inequality* (pp. 135-149). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [22]Abdellaoui, A., Martin, H. C., Kolk, M., Rutherford, A., Muthukrishna, M., Tropf, F. C., ... & Visscher, P. M. (2025). Socio-economic status is a social construct with heritable components and genetic consequences. *Nature human behaviour*, 1-13.
- [23]O'Brien, S. (2024). The family web: Multigenerational class persistence in elite populations. *Socio-Economic Review*, 22(1), 1-27.
- [24]Amado Montera Cadiong (2024). Competence, Leadership Skills, and Professional Commitment of Elementary Teachers in the National Capital Region, Philippines. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 3(12):646-663.
- [25]Levy, B. L. (2022). Wealth, race, and place: How neighborhood (dis) advantage from emerging to middle adulthood affects wealth inequality and the racial wealth gap. *Demography*, 59(1), 293-320.
- [26]Feng, Q., & He, Q. (2022). Does parental migration increase upward intergenerational mobility? Evidence from rural China. *Economic Modelling*, 115, 105955.
- [27]Berg, K., & Hebous, M. S. (2021, March). *Does a wealth tax improve equality of opportunity? evidence from Norway*.
- [28]Neil Cervantes Franco & Mae Kristine Goopio Moran (2024). Distance Learning: Lived Experiences and Challenges Faced by Sped Teachers in Masbate during Covid-19 Pandemic. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 3(08):482-492.
- [29]Bowles, S., & Nelson, V. I. (1974). The "inheritance of IQ" and the intergenerational reproduction of economic inequality. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 39-51.
- [30]Wang, X., & Squires, G. (2024). Intergenerational transfer, parental support and housing: a literature review. *Property Management*, 42(2), 192-234.
- [31]Neha Mishra (2024). Evaluation of Socio-Economic Status of Women in Durga Bhagwati Rural Municipality. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 3(07):424-435.
- [32]Iannelli, C., Breen, R., & Duta, A. (2024). Following in the parents' footsteps? Using sibling data to analyse the intergenerational transmission of social (dis) advantage in Scotland. *European Sociological Review*, 40(3), 390-402.

- [33]Nusrat Jahan (2024). Identification of Gender Violence Intensity and Workplace Vulnerabilities: A Cross-sectional Study of Savar Dhaka. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 3(06):328-339.
- [34]Pujadas-Mora, J. M., Brea-Martinez, G., & Cabré, A. (2025). Which did most to encourage secularisation: politics, economy or family? Shifting seasonality of marriages in the Barcelona Area, 1715–1880. *Continuity and Change*, 1-36.
- [35]Ram Keshar Thapa (2024). Understanding of Soft Skills and its Contribution to Employability for Undergraduate Youth. *Dinkum Journal of Social Innovations*, 3(01):22-34.
- [36]Tisch, D., & Ischinsky, E. (2023). Top wealth and its historical origins: Identifying entrenched fortunes by linking rich lists over 100 years. *Socius*, 9, 23780231231192774.